

UNITED STAND DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	MED INVENTOR	A	TTORNEY	DOCKET NO.
08/520,079	08/28/95	YAMAZAKI		5		
_		MM91/1226	<u> </u>	E	XAMINER	
NIXON PEABOL		14142171226	•	JACKSON	JR,J	
8180 GREENSE SUITE 800	BORO DRIVE	•		ART UNIT	PA	PER NUMBER
MCLEAN VA 22	2102			2815		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application r proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. 520079	Applicant(s) YAMAZAhi
Office Action Summary	Examiner 71	Group Art Unit
		28/J
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address—
PridforReply		,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	7 . TO EVENE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st 	a reply within the statutory mini	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication .
Status O	-/.	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	100	•
This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 		
Disp sition of Claims		
Claim(s) 73-144	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
☑ Claim(s) 73-/44	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are objected to.	
	are subject to restriction or election	
□ Claim(s)		requirement.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		
• •	ving Review, PTO-948.	
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj	is 🗆 approved	□ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is approved ected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is approved ected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	is approved ected to by the Examiner.)-(d).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents in)-(d). nave been
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents haber))-(d). nave been
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are obj The drawing(s) filed onis/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents haber) nternational Bureau (PCT)-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents haber) nternational Bureau (PCT)-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents been and a surface of the priority documents been a surface of the priority documents.)-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents haber) nternational Bureau (PCT)-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 08/520079

Art Unit: 2815

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in

manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 73-144 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang

'733, '360, or '426 in view of Yamazaki '636.

The previous rejection still applies. The new limitations do not structurally distinguish

over the prior art where in Yamazaki hydrogen or halogen neutralizer is disclosed and in Zhang

where hydrogen is disclosed. The particular concentration claimed is inherent in the prior art or

would have been routine experimentation for one of ordinary skill seeking to maximize device

function.

3. Applicant's arguments filed September 5, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. Applicant's arguments regarding the concentrations of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen,

are unconvincing in view of the teachings of Yamazaki who specifically teachings similar

concentrations. Likewise, Yamazaki also teaches hydrogen or halogen dangling bond neutralizers.

Applicant's arguments are unconvincing over the teachings and suggestions of the applied art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 08/520079

Art Unit: 2815

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jerome Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 308-4937. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jerøme Jeckson, Jr. Primary Examiner Page 3